

Question 2(a)

Candidate A

| | | |
|-----|--|--|
| 2a) | The multiplier effect is the proportional injection of National income. Negative multiplier effect would be the proportional amount of leakages of National income. One persons spendings is another persons savings. Negative multiplier could cause waves of job losses as as one sector of the market falls, so does another (eg: supplier struggle will result in business lacking goods so shops need to rethink). Another example would be restaurant having a lack of customers, this would lead to not as many waiters/waitresses needing employed as business | |
| | doesn't want to pay wages if they don't need to & will need less people to work. | |

Question 2(a) cont.

Candidate B

| | |
|----|---|
| 2a | Negative multiplier effect is when a decrease in spending will cause a decrease in another income. This process circulates until a new lower level of equilibrium is reached. This will have a knock on effect and cause unemployment. A decrease in an injection/leakage from circular flow will result in a more than proportionate decrease in national income. Formula is $\frac{1}{mps}$ |
|----|---|

Candidate C

| | |
|-----|--|
| 2a) | A negative multiplier effect occurs when an initial injection into the circular flow results in a reduced and increase of national income. |
| | The size of the multiplier is determined by the size of the leakages in the circular flow. Eg. Tax, savings and imports. |
| | "One person's spending becomes another person's income." |

Question 2(a) cont.

Candidate C cont.

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| | A negative multiplier effect could be for example, job losses increased . This would then decrease aggregate demand. Therefore, creating less economic growth as less output is demanded (required to be produced). This will then create more job losses as less workers are needed. This may also | |
| | have a knock on effect on suppliers as they will demand less of their raw materials. | |

Question 2(b)

Candidate A

| | | |
|----|--|--|
| b) | <p>one policy which could help those who lost their jobs would be to install more training so that those people can learn new skills and have access to alternative employment.</p> <p>Another policy would be to increase ^{introduce back to} work schemes in order help increase work incentives for a new job: Another policy could be to decrease income tax so that those who have suffered a job loss, can have motivation to get another job as they know they will have to pay less income tax. Another policy could be to</p> | |
|----|--|--|

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| | <p>introduce education courses for those who are unemployed, so that they can gain more knowledge and will become attractive to the labour market, increasing chances of being employed elsewhere. An increase to minimum wage could give work incentives to demotivated ex Honda employees.</p> | |
|--|--|--|

Question 2(b) cont.

Candidate B

| | | |
|-----|--|--|
| 2b) | The government could invest in education and training. Many young people are now entering higher and further education. By investing into education and training it can encourage people to become more skillful which therefore means there will be a higher rate of employees/ individuals who will have the requirements and meet the | |
|-----|--|--|

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| | standards of higher paid and better skilled jobs. This can help the employees who lost their jobs, get a new one and find work elsewhere. | |
| | Another supply side policy which could be used to help those who lost their jobs at Honda is are financial incentives. The government could grant Honda with money to help them get back on their feet and invest into growth. This can mean that Honda can afford the wage costs and can give people their jobs back. | |

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| | <p>Another supply side policy which could be used is the offering of free childcare. This can allow these newly unemployed employees find new work without having to worry about their children being looked after. This is essential as because they have become unemployed they no longer have a no longer have a flow of income and so will not be able to pay for childcare if they have children.</p> | |
|--|---|--|

Question 2(b) cont.

Candidate B cont.

| | |
|---|--|
| deregulation could be used. This is where the | |
| government removes control or over part of the | |

| | |
|---|--|
| private sector. This encourages competitiveness for | |
| firms which may involve lowering their prices, thus | |
| attracting consumers, creating higher revenue and | |
| meaning they are able to employ more staff. | |

Candidate C

| | |
|---|--|
| b) and Supply-side Policies that could | |
| be used to assist in increasing | |
| employment of these losing jobs at | |
| honda could be, an | |
| An increase is in spending on | |
| education and training, that could | |
| allow for workers to become more | |
| skilled, productive and flexible. This would | |
| make workers appear more attractive | |

Question 2(b) cont.

Candidate C cont.

| | |
|---|--|
| to firms and might allow them | |
| to apply for jobs in other industries | |
| due to them having newly-found | |
| transferable skills. | |
| for The government could remove | |
| unnecessary red-tape or bureaucracy | |
| to reduce firms costs, so that | |
| they may be more inclined to | |
| take on ^{new} employees. | |
| Deregulation - the government can | |
| reduce reduce the level of regulations | |

Question 2(b) cont.

Candidate C cont.

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| | in industries they impose restrictions | |
| | on such as transport. To the reduce | |
| | the cost of productions of firms that | |
| | may otherwise want take on | |
| | not have taken on new employees | |
| | The government can invest in | |
| | infrastructure to allow for workers | |
| | and transport firms (capital) to be | |
| | more geographically mobile. So | |
| | more unemployed workers can seek | |
| | out jobs they wouldn't take normally | |
| | due to something such as lack | |
| | of transportation | |

Question 2(c)

Candidate A

| | | |
|----|--|--|
| c) | A possible impact of less cars being produced | |
| | in England on the UK balance of payments | |
| | would be an the increase of imported cars | |
| | coming to the UK and a decrease of English | |
| | cars being exported abroad, which will | |
| | worsen the Balance of Payments. | |

Question 2 (c) cont.

Candidate B

| | | |
|-----|--|--|
| 2c. | Less cars being produced in England means they will have to import more cars from overseas to meet the demand in the UK for cars this will worsen the balance of payments. If less cars are being produced then there is less export of cars to overseas which means less exports for UK which is bad for balance of payments. May be good for balance of payments if less raw materials are being imported to produce cars. | |
|-----|--|--|

Question 2(d)

Candidate A

| | | |
|----|----------------------------------|--|
| d) | There will be a reduction | |
| | in demand for domestic | |
| | products as the multinational | |
| | controls the market. | |
| | | |
| | The multinational may be | |
| | exploiting a low minimum wage. | |
| | | |
| | The multinational may bring low | |
| | quality working conditions. This | |
| | could lead to increased stress | |
| | on health services. | |

| | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--|
| | There be a decrease in | |
| | economic growth as the home | |
| | country receives the products to | |
| | sell. | |

Candidate B

| | | |
|----|--|--|
| d) | Multinational Cooperations may cut corners, employing unethical methods as a means of productivity, | |
| | They may repatriate their profits from the home country back to its headquarters country. Benefitting off of the host country's resources, while not contributing largely to its output. | |
| | MNCs may outcompete local firms with superior productivity and a benefit from economies of scale. | |

Question 2(d) cont.

Candidate B cont.

| |
|---|
| <p>This could reduce put local firms out of business and cause unemployment for domestic workers. They may also gain strong foothold in a market, reducing competition and becoming a monopoly, where they set unfair prices to consumers who now have a lack of choice.</p> |
| <p>MNCs may exhaust all the finite raw materials in a country, then leave, resulting in a huge loss for</p> |

| |
|--|
| <p>home country as they may lose their presence in global markets.</p> |
| <p>MNCs may cause pollution or environmental damage to a country by producing regardless of carbon footprint and due to lack of responsibility.</p> |
| <p>MNCs may benefit off of cheap "slave labour" providing unsubstantial wages to their host country employees</p> |

Candidate C

| | | |
|----|--|--|
| 2d | A host country for a multinational could have lower standards of living if the MNC primarily employs low-skilled workers in low-income jobs, while leaving higher paying jobs such as upper management in its home country. The competition for domestic firms will be higher so they may have to cut costs, which could involve firing workers, or accept lower profits. If the MNC repatriates most or all of the profit it earns within the host country, this could worsen the Balance of Payments, increasing the deficit. The domestic | |
|----|--|--|

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| | firms will also have to compete with the MNCs in the market for labour, and so they may find it harder to attract workers or even keep existing employees, potentially leading to the firm employing less efficient workers and so being less productive and earning less profit. If the host country's government is providing financial incentives for the MNC to stay there, such as special subsidies, there is an opportunity cost to not spending this money in other ways, such as in subsidies to domestic firms. | |
|--|---|--|

Question 2(e)

Candidate A

| | | |
|----|---|--|
| e) | <u>Quota</u> | |
| | A limited quantity of a particular product being imported or exported overseas. | |
| | <u>Subsidy</u> | |
| | Money given to discourage the amount of imports and exports being transferred. | |
| | <u>Soft loans</u> | |
| | Low interest or no interest rate loans usually given to help developing countries | |

Candidate B

| | | |
|----|---|--|
| 2e | Quota restrictions involves limiting the quantity of a good that can be imported this protects domestic firms. Embargoes are complete bans on goods from a certain country or of a certain good. Favouring home producers when it comes to government contracts or offering subsidies to local producers to allow them to compete. strict health and safety restrictions to increase cost of production for overseas firms allowing UK firms to compete | |
|----|---|--|

Question 2(e) cont.

Candidate C

| | | |
|----|--|--|
| e) | <p>- Quota - a quota is a limit placed on the number of goods that can be imported, this means firms in the UK cannot be totally out-competed by from Foreign firms with cheaper prices.</p> <p>- Embargo - this is a ban placed on a country from trading with the UK, it could be on selected goods or all goods from that particular country, for example there was an embargo with South Africa for political reasons during the apartheid.</p> <p>- Subsidies - this is when the government grants</p> | |
| | <p>other countries a loan in order to buy their</p> <p>imports.</p> <p>- Soft loan - this is when the government grants loans to foreign countries in order for them to purchase imports from the UK.</p> <p>- Subsidies - this is when the government grants loans to firms in the UK in order for them to lower their costs of production so they can increase their output and export more.</p> | |