

# Candidate 3 evidence

## 3- depression

- a) Persistent depressive disorder is similar to major depressive disorder in terms of symptoms but is less severe than major depressive disorder, according to the DSM-5 model to be diagnosed with persistent depressive disorder you need to show 5 symptoms of depression on majority of days for 2 years, these symptoms can include insomnia/hypersomnia, suicidal thoughts that can lead to self harming and attempts, low mood constantly where the person does not have motivation to do simple tasks, a loss of interest in activities the person enjoyed before feeling depressed, and lack of social engagement with other people, it can also include moody behaviour towards other people, of course these vary in their extremes, other symptoms can include using drugs to cope with thoughts. Low mood and dissociative behaviour is one of the biggest indicators of depression and is one of the main symptoms you need to be diagnosed, although the illness is less severe than major depression it is still debilitating to live with every day. The lack of socialising leads to a cycle of loneliness and makes things worse, persistent depressives are given biological treatments and cognitive behavioural therapy if needed.
- b) According to the diathesis stress model, both biology and psychology and environment affect the chance of getting depression, it is widely respected biological theory because it takes into account more than one factor that can lead to depression, as humans are very complex beings and it is unlikely one small thing can lead to depression in every case this makes the approach more holistic. The diathesis part of the model refers to the idea that someone may have a genetic predisposition such as a mutated gene to depression or high neuroticism, the diathesis can even be a traumatic psychological event that causes the person to have a predisposition to getting depression, the stress part of the model refers to external stressors that can lead to depression occurring in someone such as a stressful life event, like divorce. Both of these together are crucial to developing depression,

the idea is that one cannot happen without the other. Otherwise the person will unlikely develop depression. The theory can actually be evidenced somewhat by Caspi et al (2003), Caspi studied gene differences that can cause depression but also measured life events over a longitudinal study. Caspi used 3 groups of people one, with the two short alleles of the 5HTT gene (gene related to serotonin production in the brain- according to the role of neurochemistry serotonin lacking can cause depression as serotonin changes mood). Group 2 had one short and one long allele, and group 3 had 2 short alleles of the gene, Caspi found with his participants who were studied from age 3 to age 26 that those who had at least one short allele of the 5HTT gene and at least 4 or more stressful life events, 33% were eligible to be diagnosed with clinical depression, in comparison to those who had two long alleles but still 4 or more stressful life events, 17% were eligible to be diagnosed with clinical depression. This therefore backs up the diathesis stress model somewhat as it shows that in more cases the genetic factor and the stressful life events are what leads to major depression. Although the study could be seen as contradicting, because if the diathesis stress model is correct, then 100% of people with 4 or more stressful life events and at least 1 short allele would be eligible to be diagnosed with major depression, it suggests that the diathesis stress model although seen as holistic, is missing a few key points for example cognitive thinking processes that can lead to the development of depression. The diathesis stress also does not think about the fact that everyone perceives stressful life events to a different extent, some people are better at coping with stressful events and may not even view a traumatic experience as a 'big deal' whereas another person may view the same experience as extremely traumatic and cause them to develop depression. The diathesis stress does not allow for cognitive thinking which is a proven factor that is related to depression, although the cognitive approach is more reductionist than the diathesis stress it still accounts for things that cause depression, we can see this through the use of the treatment cognitive behavioural therapy which focuses on treating negative thought processes through tasks like thought catching with diathesis stress does not consider. The fact CBT has great success just goes to show the cognitive approach has major applications. The cognitive triad and Ellis's ABC model both see that the way we see ourselves - if it is a negative self-schema we will have negative thoughts, something similar about diathesis stress and the cognitive approach is that they look to early life events to seek an explanation, if we see ourselves in a negative light we will degrade ourselves more which can lead to more depressive thoughts according to Beck, negative schemas maintain the negative triad, by having a negative view of oneself, a negative view of the world and a negative view of others about you. The ABC model by Ellis believes that the activation event (similar to diathesis, the diathesis can be a psychological event at a young age) leads to a belief about oneself. If the belief is negative it will cause negative consequences leading to more depressive thoughts leading to depression, however if looking at oneself in a positive light as a belief, for example failing a pre-lim instead of giving up trying harder, this will not lead to depressive thoughts. The diathesis model does not think of this factor which is very true, if a person experiences the stress, if they think of

the stress positively will they still develop depression or not? Although the cognitive approach is more simplistic and does not allow for human thought to be complex and impulsive unlike the diathesis, it should still be accounted for as it is evidenced in therapy, for example Alloy et al (1999) found those with positive thinking styles, only 1% of them had depression, those with negative thinking styles 17% had depression, this therefore goes to show thought processes in a cognitive way do affect if we will get depression.

Biological treatments also evidence the diathesis stress model, such as the use of SSRI or SNRI these block the serotonin reuptake in the neurons so more serotonin is available in the brain to boost mood, SNRI also block the reuptake of norepinephrine which is also associated with mood, these have shown great success especially SSRIs which have shown to have 80% to 90% effectiveness when treated, this therefore shows diathesis stress can be backed up by biological treatments because biological treatments have shown to be successful, this further shows that there is a biology to depression otherwise these treatments would not work. Another advantage of the diathesis stress model is the stress, those with the same genetic predispositions could either get depression or not based on their living state, those with low incomes who struggle to get by will be more likely to get depressed, especially if they have the genetics for it. Biological treatments have been around for the longest compared to CBT, this therefore shows that it is very widely accepted that there is a biological cause to depression. The TADS study in 2007 also further proved biological treatments were the most effective the most quickly with 61% effectiveness by 12 weeks in, this therefore shows that there is biology to depression which the diathesis stress model importantly accounts for which most approaches may not. However CBT showed to be more effective at 12 weeks with 71%, showing cognitive thoughts also lead to depression, this seriously weakens the diathesis stress model's stance. It is clearly not holistic enough.