

# Commentary on candidate evidence

The candidate evidence has achieved the following marks for each section of the assignment.

## Candidate 4

The candidate was awarded **16 out of 20 marks**.

### Standard A

The candidate was awarded **4 marks**.

The candidate comments on significance and impact throughout the report, so this section achieves full marks.

### Standards B, C and D

The candidate was awarded **9 marks**.

The candidate has provided a very detailed analysis of the arguments offered in the debate around assisted dying and has included evidence that they have used a wide range of sources. However, the viewpoints described are a little thin on detail, so the first column on the marking grid was ticked for all the statements, with the second column also ticked for the statement on presenting relevant viewpoints. This means the candidate could not be awarded full marks for this section, however it was very strong overall, hence 9 marks.

### Standards E and F

The candidate was awarded **3 marks**.

There is a justified conclusion, but it lacks detail, so this part was awarded 3 marks. Although there are a lot of evaluative points in the report, these don't tell us what the

candidate thinks about the issue in their question, so they are credited as analysis and explanation for standards B-D.